

REMARKS

In the Office Action of 23 Nov 2003 in the parent application, the Examiner withdrew claims 2 and 8 from consideration "because these claim[s] draw to a method of decoration a room which is distinct from claims 1,3-7 and 9-11 and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. (Claims 8-11 had been added in a prior amendment to those originally filed). Applicants file this application with respect to claim 2, which had been withdrawn by the Examiner from the parent application, reintroduce claim 8, and add new claims 9 and 10.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Applicant(s) urges that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 2 and 8-10.


The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707.02(j) and 707.03 in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Sincerely,

Julio Estrada, et al.

By


Shelley M. Beckstrand
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 12 April 2004

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.
Attorney at Law
314 Main Street
Owego, NY 13827

Phone: (607) 687-9913
Fax: (607) 687-7848